

From: [Moody, Dustin \(Fed\)](#)
To: [Kerman, Sara J. \(Fed\)](#)
Subject: RE: FAQ small revision
Date: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 3:15:00 PM

Thanks a bunch

From: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 3:15 PM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: FAQ small revision

Agreed.

So the change has taken effect on the CSRC [faq.html](#) page. I'll upload the PDF to the archive page. I have to hold off on changing the beta site until tomorrow when J. Foti can re-assign it back to me (it's between workflow steps so I can check it out).

Thanks!
Sara

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 3:13 PM
To: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <sara.kerman@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: FAQ small revision

That looks good to me. I agree we may not really need this much detail, but I don't think it is too hard to do, so why not?

From: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 3:11 PM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: FAQ small revision

I may be over analyzing how to show the changes to Question 15 in the archive file. Attached is what I've done. Please feel free to offer another option. 😊

Sara

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 1:55 PM
To: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <sara.kerman@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: FAQ small revision

For complete transparency, we probably should. We also had an earlier version of this answer that

could also be archived.

But yeah – it's not a big change, so it's not that critical.

From: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed)
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 1:53 PM
To: Moody, Dustin (Fed) <dustin.moody@nist.gov>
Subject: RE: FAQ small revision

So since the majority of the answer remains the same, do I need to do anything with moving the removed information to the “archive” section?

<http://csrc.nist.gov/groups/ST/post-quantum-crypto/archive.html>

From: Moody, Dustin (Fed)
Sent: Wednesday, April 26, 2017 1:48 PM
To: Kerman, Sara J. (Fed) <sara.kerman@nist.gov>
Subject: FAQ small revision

Sara,

Can you remove one sentence from our PQC FAQ #15? The answer currently says:

A15: The function `randombytes()` will be available to the submitters. This is a function from the SUPERCOP test environment and should be used to generate seed values for an algorithm. Randombytes should only be used to seed a NIST-approved DRBG.

The revision is to remove the last sentence:

Randombytes should only be used to seed a NIST-approved DRBG.

And the remaining paragraphs should stay the same. Thanks!

Dustin